Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/824,969	HARRY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>ISAAC T. TECKLU</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brian Tucker, Reg. No. 61,550</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 May 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Isaac T Tecklu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2192	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Tucker to propose claim amendment to have the case in condition for allowance. The proposition is to include wherein one of the client-side client source code control component or the server-side server source code control component checks for an error during the update process and determines whether the update can proceed or must be aborted in part or whole to claim 1. Mr. Tucker accepted the proposition.